

Sierra Vista City Council  
Work Session Minutes  
February 23, 2016

1. Call to order – 3:00 p.m. in the City Hall, Council Chambers, 1011 N. Coronado Drive, Sierra Vista, Arizona.

Roll Call

Mayor Rick Mueller – present  
Mayor Pro Tem Bob Blanchard – present  
Council Member Alesia Ash – present  
Council Member Gwen Calhoun – present arrived at 3:47 p.m.  
Council Member Rachel Gray – present  
Council Member Hank Huisking – present  
Council Member Craig Mount – present

Others Present:

Chuck Potucek, City Manager  
Mary Jacobs, Assistant City Manager  
Adam Thrasher, Police Chief  
Ron York, Fire Chief  
Victoria Yarbrough, Library and Leisure Services Director  
Matt McLachlan, Community Development Director  
Sharon Flissar, Public Works Director  
Judy Hector, PIO  
Marcus, Economic Development  
Abe Rubio, IT Director  
Barbara Fleming, Human Resources Director  
Pam Weir, Management Analyst  
Laura Wilson, Procurement Manager  
Jill Adams, City Clerk

2. Presentation and discussion:

- A. February 25, 2016 Council Meeting Agenda Items

Mayor Mueller announced that there will be awards and presentations at the February 25<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting.

Item 2 Approval of the City Council Regular Meeting Minutes of February 11, 2016

Council Member Gray noted that there was something that was left out.

Item 3 Ordinance 2016-002, General 2015/16 Update to Sierra Vista Development Code

Mr. McLachlan stated that the ordinance adopts by reference the amendments to the Development Code that Council approved by Resolution on January 14<sup>th</sup>. During the 30-day public comment period staff received input that prompted additional modifications to be requested relating to camp grounds, mobile food vendors and home based businesses.

The scope of the amendments primarily relate to standardizing and defining permitted conditional uses across all zoning districts, expanding the range of authorized uses in the General Commercial District to provide for attached and multi-family residential housing types, light manufacturing industrial workshop uses, consolidating special regulations for particular uses under one article, amending the permitting process and standards for cell towers and accessory equipment.

The additional amendments provide certain allowances for developed and primitive campgrounds in the City, which arise from the Cochise Bicycle Advocates' desire to accommodate long range cyclers travelling through Sierra Vista as part of the nationwide network that is being established. The proposed ordinance provides for developed campgrounds to be added as a conditional use to the Recreational Vehicle Park District and as a permitted use in the Open Space Public Facilities District pursuant to 94.05(B) of the City Code should the City ever decide to designate public land for such purpose. Primitive camping which is essentially an unimproved campsite would be authorized in State Trust Land with a State-issued recreation permit on property in the General Commercial District subject to certain setbacks being met.

Currently mobile food vendors that are operating out of a location more than two hours at a time are treated as itinerant vendors and there is very little enforcement. Temporary use permits are good for 14 days at one location and can't exceed more than 30 days per quarter. The ordinance provides an avenue for a mobile food vendor seeking to establish a longer term arrangement at a single location or multiple locations in the City with consent from the property owner and certain parameters being met.

Staff is proposing to modify the home base business regulations to allow a home base business to take place within an approved accessory structure. Currently home base businesses are confined to the principle structure subject to a 25 percent floor area limitation.

Council Member Husking stated that she read the letters submitted by the people during the 30-day comment period and she understands that since there is a national bicycle route going through Sierra Vista that not everyone would be willing or able to afford a hotel room for every stop that they make. She also asked about the procedure in acquiring permission from the State Trust Land Trust if the City was to designate a camping space for them and it was primitive camping.

Mr. McLachlan explained that the application is posted on the State Trust Land Trust web site and they would have to file through that agency either by mail or in person in Phoenix. The City can provide information on their local web site directing them to that application process; but there would not be local permit associated with camping on State Trust Land as that would all be handled by the State through their permitting.

Council Member Huisking asked if it would be a combination bicycle/recreational vehicle if the City established a camp site. Mr. McLachlan stated that on private property a developed camp ground would be limited to the Recreational Vehicle Park District. Currently there are no such zoning applied to any property in the City; however, there would be an opportunity perhaps for an existing mobile home park owner to make application to rezone all or a portion of the property for such use to accommodate transient visitors. The Department is setting up an avenue for a property owner who requests that type of use within the City whereas, currently it is not allowed. With respect to public lands, through the City Code provides that overnight camping is allowed within 72 hours notice and approval from the Leisure Services Director on areas designated for such use. Currently there are no public lands designated for such use

within the City; but is down the road if the City wanted to work with the Cochise Bicycle Advocates and figure out an appropriate area to designate as a campground, the zoning change would help implement that.

Council Member Huisking noted that the Department has left the door open for property owners and the Advocates to work with the City establishing that. Mr. McLachlan stated that it is correct.

Council Member Gray asked if the proposed codes satisfy the requirements that Mr. Carter talked about regarding the City being formally recognized as a Bicycle Friendly City. Mr. McLachlan stated that provided the campground area is established. Through these amendments the State Land would be opened up and really for primitive camping in terms of establishing a developed campground that would be up to a private entity or the City to implement. The Department can certainly foster those conversations and try to encourage one to develop in the City or Council can consider providing for a campground on public lands.

Council Member Gray noted that primitive campground covered that to fulfill the requirement to get the City listed as a Bicycle Friendly City and asked if staff has areas in mind that the City would be looking at designating in the near future. Mr. McLachlan stated that not public lands. Mayor Mueller asked who defines what a Bicycle Friendly City is and exactly what those parameters and added that it has not been decided as a Council if they want to go that way.

Council Member Huisking asked, that if Council approves the ordinance, about the earliest date to discuss it. Mr. McLachlan stated that it would be 30 days after the approval and then it becomes effective.

Council Member Mount asked if there is a procedure and how hard is to go back and change it if Council missed something or did not account for something. Mr. McLachlan stated that it would have to go back to the beginning, starting with the resolution, 30-day public comment period and a separate ordinance. It is a 60-day process for any piece. In the application window for the bicycle designation is in August and staff will be putting together an application over the next couple of months and work with the Bicycle Advocates to make sure that the City meets the requirements.

Council Member Gray asked if there are funds. Mr. McLachlan stated that it is one of their top goals for this year to receive that designation.

Mayor Mueller noted that Council has not yet discussed that; but if there is a consensus, he sure that they can go ahead. However, he does not know what the standards are right now.

Council Member Gray asked for a work session to be scheduled to discuss the issue. Mayor Mueller stated that there is no. Council Member Ash asked if it falls under the Strategic Plan. Mayor Mueller stated that for a healthy community it should.

Council Member Gray asked about the response to Ms. Renfro's letter. Mr. McLachlan stated that staff visited and talked about the comments with her, a former land use attorney from California; but the main focus is the mobile food vendors that were addressed in the proposal.

Item 4 Resolution 2016-012, Tasking the Citizens Advisory Commission with the Current Board and Commission Structure

Mayor Mueller stated that he spoke to Mr. Potucek earlier on this item and noted that the list of boards and commissions needs to be looked at because the CAC was listed and they should not have been listed. Mr. Potucek stated that the list will be revised.

Ms. Jacobs stated that based on Council's input at the last work session and in follow-up with Council Member Calhoun, who has been sponsoring this particular item, she went back and drafted a new tasking for the CAC based on the similar tasking that Council had for last year and the ones in the past, which is basically to provide with a framework that gives them enough direction so that they understand the things that Council is asking them to evaluate; but it also does not specifically identify the outcome that Council is looking for. It gives them that opening to make recommendations that they see fit based on their review and analysis.

Ms. Jacobs stated that she reviewed this with Council Member Calhoun and she felt that it meet what she was trying to do based on the Council direction. It is certainly available to be amended because this is Council's tasking and so whatever changes may need to be made in order to make it something that Council prefers is completely up to Council and those changes can be made before next week or it can be pushed off to another meeting.

Council Member Huisking asked if the parameters that the CAC is being asked to review are being shared with the commissions. Ms. Jacobs stated that it would be very wise and she already talked to the City Manager and he has asked her to staff this particular tasking with the CAC.

Ms. Jacobs stated that she would be advising them that they communicate with the boards and commissions in some way. Mayor Mueller stated that one thing that is important is that once this is passed, the Council needs to make sure that each of the commissions sees the tasking so that they know and that helps prevent miscommunication.

Ms. Jacobs stated that she can ensure, if the Council takes action on Thursday, that all of the staff liaisons provide it to the most current email addresses of the boards and commissions.

Council Member Gray stated that it is her opinion that the item be taken off for Thursday because she would prefer that Council would have more time to go through the tasking and make sure that it is clear as they want it to be and to also have deliverables - what exactly is the Council expecting, not outcomes. She would like to see what they are bringing back to Council more spelled out.

She also stated that she does not any have issues with the questions being asked; however, she would recommend that there be more. She also noted that this is really sudden and she is taking into mind that there are four liaisons that work full time.

Council Member Mount concurred with Council Member Calhoun and stated that Council needs to know the full scope of the tasking, how long is it expected to last because there are people that are working.

Council Member Mount stated that he thinks that spelled out somewhat as a deliverable is the so what with what happens with the recommendations. Council knows that there are commissions, i.e., the Library Commission that is doing things, i.e. National Library Week and there are a number of other commissions that are doing other events to where some of these commissions can be rolled up; then how does the City go about maintaining some of those other planning efforts that are currently in progress so that the City does not lose out on some of the events that are going forward.

Council Member stated that he thinks that the City can have it both ways and work that out in the plan to figure out what exactly Council is doing with the recommendations and what can Council anticipate those recommendations to be.

Council Member Gray stated that she understands that commissions are doing their yearly reports to Council starting in March and she thinks that having heard those recently in their minds and having the CAC have the opportunity to hear those will actually help them with this process, which is something else that Council should consider.

Council Member Huisking stated that she wants to be clear and asked Council Member Gray if she wants to give this to them before they make their presentations. Council Member Gray stated that she wants to take this off the agenda and for Council to have a work session or topic on the work session agenda to streamline this some more. She was simply saying that with the yearly presentations if the CAC knows that this is coming up, this gives them the opportunity to listen to those presentations, which might help them with the tasking.

Council Member Huisking stated that resolution states that the final report would not be due until the first of November and in answer to Council Member Mount's concern, nothing that is planned currently this year would be changed; but it would begin the following year. Mayor Mueller noted that the challenge to that would be that there are ongoing plans and different events for each different commission. There may be planning underway for January, February and etc. It is a continuous thing and he thinks that Council Member Mount has a valid concern.

Council Member Mount stated that people are people and people have feelings and emotions and these are people who have strong ties to their commissions and they should. If the feedback is that some of these can be consolidated or rolled up; but there are other things that are currently being planned and are important to the community, Council should make sure that they don't jeopardize those at all. People have emotions and Council should make sure that is taken into account. This is to have contingencies in place and evaluate the risks to make sure that the City has plans that are well vetted and approved before heading into anything. It is worth an extra work session and it is not going to hurt anything.

Council Member Huisking stated that she does not have a problem with that.

Mayor Mueller noted that what he is hearing is that Council can move slower on this and suggested having the issue as a topic at the March 8<sup>th</sup> work session. Council Member Gray pointed out that several Council Members will be out of town due to the League of Cities.

Mayor Mueller stated that it would not hurt to have CAC members present at the work session and asked Council Members that don't think that there are enough adequate deliverables to draft some for discussion. There was a consensus to move the item to a work session to mid to late March and after.

Item 5 – Resolution 2016- 012, Intergovernmental Agreement between the City of Sierra Vista and Cochise County for Critical Mission Use of H5 Productions Aircraft

Police Chief Thrasher stated that this is an update to the agreement between Cochise County and the City regarding the use of the Sheriff's Department helicopter for missions for Sierra Vista PD and it outlines obligations both for the City and County. In particular for the City in providing hangar space for the helicopter for its storage, maintenance and for the use of certified Sierra Vista police officers for flights/missions and to provide Sierra Vista officers on-

call basis in conjunction with some CCSO officers for missions that pop up that are not planned. In addition, the City provide them logs of its time and their obligations would be to allow the City to use the helicopter for those missions as requested and to reimburse the City for the officers time spent both on the standby time as well any time while they are in flight status.

This is an update to the agreement previously held with them and it is good for the next year and it would update automatically.

Mayor Mueller stated that he knows that it is the same agreement as last time and asked how the Sierra Vista missions are managed as well as the officer on standby in case there is a Sierra Vista or a Sheriff/Sierra Vista mission. Police Chief Thrasher stated that they meet monthly and they set a schedule for standby time. There are five Sierra Vista police officers, five Cochise County Sheriff Office (CCSO) deputies and they divide up the time over the month based on their days off when they are on standby time. Those are the days that they would be available to fly with the helicopter. There will be Sierra Vista officers at times on CCSO missions and there might be CCSO officers on Sierra Vista missions as well, depending on the situation. They set them out on a monthly basis and they work the schedule so that it is even out. The difficulty is for CCSO for the standby because the helicopter goes up within 15 minutes. It has been dictated that the officers have to live within 15 minutes of the airport to be able to get there on time. CCSO has fewer deputies available that meet those requirements as opposed to the Sierra Vista officers. Right now it is a five and five basis.

Mayor Mueller stated that his concern is that a regular patrol officer is available on his day off and to him there is maybe a management problem if in fact the City has the one or two officers that worked their normal shift, they are working the next day and they may get two or three calls to go out to the airport and then report back for their regular shift.

Mayor Mueller asked how the Department makes sure that they are not overtaxing the officers. Police Chief Thrasher stated that the Department has a policy regarding that extra duty time. They must have a minimum of eight hours between shifts before any extra duty and the regular shift. They are only on call during their days.

Mayor Mueller voiced his concern about burn out. Police Chief Thrasher stated that they look at that on any extra duty that they have including off duty work they want to do; but most of the time, it is on their days off and they are not on call all three days that they are off. They are typically three days off because they work 4-10 and it will be one day during that weekend that they would be on the on call status.

Council Member Huisking asked if there a minimum number of times that they will be in the air. Police Chief Thrasher stated that they are on standby and so they would be scheduled to be called out if there is a mission or if there is a mission planned they would come out for it. They are up in the helicopter for the time of the missions and then they would be off and go home. It is hard to plan the missions and at times it goes up for critical incidents or they may have a planned patrol circling the City or the County. They have that preplanned and they know that they will be up for a short amount of time.

Council Member Mount asked about the number of incidents for last year. Police Chief Thrasher stated that he does not have those numbers. They had it for a seven month period in 2014 before the previous helicopter crashed.

Police Chief stated that he knows of seven incidents where they used the helicopter; but they did have officers up besides those times than on specific missions.

Council Member Mount asked if they were pursuits. Police Chief Thrasher stated that typically it is either a search for people, missing persons wandering off into a field. The PD used it for a homicide suspect that had shot somebody and the advantage to that was to put him to ground until the helicopter cleared and then a perimeter was formed and he came out. Typically they are used for missing children that wander off from the house or missing individuals with Alzheimer's.

Council Member Mount asked about the officers' additional training. Police Chief Thrasher stated that they get specific training to the flight and they go through a specific training program with the pilots and they are trained specifically to be law enforcement observers for the flight so they are trained to actually be a safety officer for the pilots as well as the communications between the ground units and the pilots.

Council Member Mount stated that last year they had an opportunity to see what would happen without this capability and asked Police Chief Thrasher to describe some of the differences between the air support versus no air support. Police Chief Thrasher stated that almost a year ago, several kids decided to run away from their grandparents' house. The oldest was about seven/eight years old, the youngest about three years old and they were missing for a period of about six hours. They were mid town, the level of where the City Park is at and there was no air support. The Department requested air support Customs and Border Patrol and they could not get down for about six/seven hours and the children were eventually located crossing a culvert on to Fort Huachuca. If there would have been air support, they could have been seen walking down the street and able to recover them quicker.

Council Member Gray asked about the hangar space that the City is providing. Mr. Potucek stated that while the City is not outlaying money specifically out of the budget, there is a foregone revenue cost associated with the use of a hangar, which could have been used for another purpose. Ms. Flissar stated that the AGS hangar is currently rented out to PHI and they are subletting to the County. The City continuing to collect the same revenue that they would.

Council Member Gray asked about the space for the mechanic and insurance. Mr. Potucek stated that it is the same.

Council Member Gray asked if the City is still required to maintain insurance and if so, on the reimbursement that the City gets for the police officer, is that included. Mr. Potucek stated that it is.

#### B. Discussion of Council Executive Report

Mr. Potucek stated that this the 2nd report put out and he wanted to make sure that staff was present for any questions by Council.

Council Member Gray asked the following questions regarding the report:

- SB1268

Mayor Mueller stated that it passed through the Committee in the Senate and has now moved to the House; but nothing has been scheduled through the House. Mr. Potucek stated that the

Senate approved 21/8 and it has moved completely through the Senate and onto the House on the 18<sup>th</sup> and they are all waiting.

- Bids for King's Court bids

Ms. Jacobs stated that nothing has been submitted; but she knows that there is some interest. If there are no responses, staff will go back and relook at the process to reach out to those that were interested and see if modifications may be required and if it requires more input on the part of the Council.

- Defense Communities

Ms. Jacobs stated that any time after February 15<sup>th</sup>; but she has not heard back.

- Crosswalk for Avenida del Sol

Council Member Gray noted that she has heard positive feedback and she is happy to have the City work with the School District and thanked Mr. Coxworth for his assistance. She also stated that she is pleased with the partnerships between the School District, the A.L.I.C.E. Training as she has had positive feedback.

- Adopt a School Program

Police Chief Thrasher stated that it depends as the Department goes through the year. There are 13 positions; but not available due to the shortage on patrol. However, in August the Department will see if they can assign an officer full time. They will continue with the Adopt a School Program.

Mayor Mueller asked if the Department will request an officer during the budget process. Police Chief Thrasher stated that not in this budget year.

- CARE Program

Fire Chief York stated that he feels that the program is a success; but he does not know how many people have been helped. However, those helped have seen dramatic improvement and these individuals have been contacted and they have not been readmitted to the hospital.

Council Member Gray congratulated the fire fighters.

- Code enforcement assessment of mobile home parks

Mr. McLachlan stated that he met with Garden Canyon Mobile Home Park as well as Mountain Vista to compare observations. This week he will be drafting a Memorandum of Understanding regarding their commitment to remove dilapidated mobile homes. The other parks' assessments have been completed and he will be contacting those owners with the same type of action. The removal of the units is at their expense and he did request that they start at the parameter where it is more visible and work backwards towards the park. Historically they were moving five units; but there has been a delay due to States' construction of bridge. He has also looked at having them double the number of units being removed.

Council Member Mount stated that he appreciates the effort on the document and asked to have the numbers for the CARE Program looked at because it is important to see how many

people are being serviced. This will help during the budget process to see what one CARE vehicle gets the City and its growing capability.

Council Member Mount noted that the transit chart shows a decline and asked how many rides have taken place and how many actual unique individuals ride the bus because it does matter when breaking down the revenue piece.

Council Member Mount asked if the sales taxes are all consolidated together. He would prefer to see it broken apart to see what is going on with economy in order to get better sense of the revenue. He would like to know if there is an industry that is doing better than others and he feels that the need to break it down, his analyst eye, is to make sure that the City can service more people with the revenue coming in.

Mayor Mueller agreed with Council Member Mount and noted that the old report was much more detailed because it provided the idea of where the City was at. It gives year-to-date as well.

Mr. Potucek stated that the Debarment can look at incorporating that into this report and noted that this is state classifications.

Council Member Gray stated that now that the Cove is open, she has received calls about the Tsunami team working out. Ms. Yarbrough stated that she is currently working on a contract and she has met with the Team's president; but they have been in contract with the Fort and that is until the end of March.

Council Member Calhoun arrived to the meeting - 3:47 p.m.

Council Member Calhoun asked about camp grounds, which had been previously covered.

Council Member Calhoun asked about providing the 411 copies to other entities. Ms. Hector stated that they are available through her department.

In response to Council Member Calhoun regarding the Surplus Auction, Ms. Jacobs stated that the money goes into the General Fund and she expects it to be over; but staff will make adjustments accordingly.

Council Member Calhoun asked about cyber security issues with the City. Mr. Rubio stated that there are several different layers of security for the City. Malware occurs and there are thousands of spam email a day. It is incredible what is out there and that is why the City has extra level of securities, it is standard.

Council Member Calhoun asked about the translucent roof over the Library entrance and atrium. Ms. Flissar stated that it is a challenge because there are a lot of repairs that are needed to City facilities; but in order to come up with a solution, she will prioritize in the budget. There is no way to patch the roof and it will not be insignificant as it is at the end of its 20 years life.

Council Member Huisking asked if she is planning for a metal roof. Ms. Flissar stated that it is an option; but they are not just replacing in-kind. They are going with a different material and they want to be sure that the ascetics are preserved.

Council Member Calhoun noted the importance of the maintenance of the infrastructure and voiced her concern that leaking will cause problems with the rest of the building. She also asked how much of the City's infrastructure is at that point, i.e., the roads. She also asked if Council will receive a list of priorities with costs attached because she feels that the City is not taking care of its infrastructure. Mr. Potucek stated that the annual capital maintenance plan is embedded in the budget and it will be included in this year's documents.

Council Member Mount suggested to start shopping around and asked about the price range for a new roof. Ms. Flissar stated that it is about \$ 200,000; but there are other options and she would need an architect to full vet those options.

Council Member Mount agreed with Council Member Calhoun in that Council should have a list of all of the budget costs to take a look at all expenses and prioritize where money is being spent.

Council Member Gray asked if Council would have numbers by budget meetings. Ms. Flissar stated yes.

#### C. Report on Recent Trips, Meetings and Future Meetings

Mayor Mueller announced that last Friday he attended the League Meeting where they voted to support 123. The PSPRS two issues, Prop 124 which will be on the ballot and limits increases to 2 percent. It is a constitution vote and both will help the system; but he is not sure about the current issue.

In response to Council Member Huisking, Mayor Mueller stated that it is a long term impact on the fund and the fact that if it is a yes vote – the State will be cutting future funds.

In response to Council Member Calhoun, Mayor Mueller noted that the League voted to support 123.

Council Member Mount announced that he on Monday he attended a meeting at the Library where discussed was the topic of a roller skate ring. It was really more about the perception of the City's support to new businesses and it was candid. There were a number of topics; but the core issue was about the perspective of the City's actions to new businesses.

Council Member Mount stated that this could be addressed through social media because none of the individuals present had any idea of where to find information.

Council Member Gray stated that she thinks that they all seem to appreciate talking to someone. However, Craig and she were adamant on the role of government and the community. It was a completely different audience and they lead them in directions; therefore, staff might be contacted.

Council Member Mount stated that he believes staff can handle this and one of the things that came back is working through the issues with them because they get hit with the immediate no. He also noted that he believes that it is the perception of the City.

In response to Council Member Huisking, Council Member Mount stated that he was contacted through social media.

Mayor Mueller stated that one of his concerns is the disconnect and social media.

Council Member Gray stated that she talked to the individuals about it and praised the Public Affairs Office with what they are doing concerning social media. The audience was comprised mostly mothers who have certain community sites that they go to; but don't think about going to the City's web site. However, they were told about the Library and City pages.

Mayor Mueller noted that the information is out there and there has to be two to connect. Council Member Mount noted that Council knows about the web pages; but the reality is that they do not. Mayor Mueller asked Ms. Hector for ideas.

Council Member Calhoun announced the Council Meet and Greet on Friday at the Landmark Café where they will discuss meetings in neighborhoods. Council Member Gray made the comment about the beauty of the diversity of council and how they reach people.

Mayor Mueller stated that he had productive day at Northrop Grumman where that have a lot of technical expertise and they grow their own. They have a bright future in the community.

Mayor Mueller thanked Mr. McLachlan and Mr. Johnson for accompanying him to visit Northrop Grumman and noted that there are some issues to work on and one of them is through the Police Department.

#### D. Future Discussion Items and Council Requests

Mayor Mueller announced that the CAC tasking item will be removed from the Agenda and delayed for a future work session to discuss deliverables.

Council Member Huisking thanked everyone involved to her response about pan handling and the homeless and asked if the information could be placed in the next 411 issue because people are always wondering. There is nothing that the City can do and it would be good to outline the framework with what the City can and cannot do.

Mayor Mueller suggested putting together an article about anything dealing with the Police Department in the paper.

Council Member Huisking announced that Ms. Alexander has resigned from the Tourism Commission due to her husband having received orders to go to Korea, so she has decided to move to Texas. This means that she will also be leaving SEHA. Council Member Mount noted that they are in the process of getting the next person on board.

Council Member Huisking also announced that the students from Radabeul have gone to visit Cananea Sonora, Mexico and will be back on Saturday for a party at the Cove at which the Cananea students will also be present along with host families.

Council Member Huisking stated that Fort Huachuca will be reducing its housing inventory on Fort Huachuca and there are four playgrounds that can possible be used by the City or a church. Mayor Mueller noted that the equipment will have to be looked at to make sure that it meets safety standards.

Mayor Mueller publicly thanks Council Member Huisking for chaperoning the Radabeul students.

Council Member Mount stated that he was approached about the process involved if groups come together and request to have an activity i.e., youth sports, a diving team or swim team at one of the City's facilities. Mayor Mueller stated that he has had similar discussions with regard to bicycle events; but Ms. Yarbrough was part of addressing that and he is not sure how to put that information out.

Council Member Calhoun stated that her concern is when the City for whatever reason says no and those folks come to her. She noted that she would appreciate if Ms. Yarbrough could get in touch with Council and provide them information.

Mayor Mueller suggested that the information be included in the monthly report. If in fact, any department has been approached about an activity and list the issues and costs so that Council is aware.

3. Adjourn

Mayor Mueller adjourned the work session at 4:19 p.m.

---

Mayor Frederick W. Mueller

Minutes prepared by:

Attest:

---

Maria G. Marsh, Deputy City Clerk

---

Jill Adams, City Clerk